

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

**Title of Course:** First-Level Modern Chinese I

**Course:** L04 101D

**Section:** E

**Semester:** Fall 2013

**Instructors:** Li Wei (Instructor)

**Completed Evaluations:** 1 of 6 (17%)

**Scoring Key**

**Bold** - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

**Course Section Requirements:**

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

**Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)**

Overall Evaluation (Li Wei - Instructor)

**7.00 Give an overall rating for the section**

(S) 5.68 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.08 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**7.00 Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness**

(S) 5.59 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.95 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

Instruction (Li Wei - Instructor)

**7.00 Material was presented clearly**

(S) 5.75 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.00 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

## 7.00 Questions were answered clearly and concisely

(S) 5.71 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.17 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 Material was presented at an appropriate pace

(S) 5.85 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.27 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 The TA was well prepared for class

(S) 6.09 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.57 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 Class time was used well

(S) 5.82 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.08 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 The TA effectively led the class

(S) 5.74 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.05 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 Topics were effectively related to the lectures

(S) 5.93 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.49 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 Communicated at a level appropriate for the class

(S) 5.96 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.22 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

Interaction with students (Li Wei - Instructor)
---

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

**7.00 Expectations were clearly explained**

(S) 5.74 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.98 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**7.00 Grading procedures were fair**

(S) 5.76 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.13 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**7.00 TA was concerned for students**

(S) 5.88 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.23 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**7.00 TA was available for consultation outside of class**

(S) 5.96 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.13 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**7.00 TA maintained positive environment in class**

(S) 6.08 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.29 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

Short Answers (Li Wei - Instructor)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

Instructor Short Answers (Li Wei - Instructor)

What did you like most about the teaching of this section by the TA?

00 I thought that she made Chinese very fun for the entire class, but we still learned a lot

What did you like least about the teaching of this section by the TA?



# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

**Title of Course:** First-Level Modern Chinese I

**Course:** L04 101D

**Section:** G

**Semester:** Fall 2013

**Instructors:** Li Wei (Instructor)

**Completed Evaluations:** 4 of 7 (57%)

**Scoring Key**

**Bold** - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

**Course Section Requirements:**

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

**Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)**

Overall Evaluation (Li Wei - Instructor)

**6.33 Give an overall rating for the section**

(S) 5.68 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.08 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

**6.00 Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness**

(S) 5.59 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.95 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

Instruction (Li Wei - Instructor)

**7.00 Material was presented clearly**

(S) 5.75 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.00 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

## 7.00 Questions were answered clearly and concisely

(S) 5.71 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.17 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 Material was presented at an appropriate pace

(S) 5.85 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.27 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 The TA was well prepared for class

(S) 6.09 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.57 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 Class time was used well

(S) 5.82 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.08 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 The TA effectively led the class

(S) 5.74 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.05 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 Topics were effectively related to the lectures

(S) 5.93 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.49 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 7.00 Communicated at a level appropriate for the class

(S) 5.96 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.22 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

Interaction with students (Li Wei - Instructor)
---

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

**7.00 Expectations were clearly explained**

(S) 5.74 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.98 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**7.00 Grading procedures were fair**

(S) 5.76 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.13 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**7.00 TA was concerned for students**

(S) 5.88 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.23 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**6.00 TA was available for consultation outside of class**

(S) 5.96 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.13 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 6.00								

**7.00 TA maintained positive environment in class**

(S) 6.08 of 15553	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.29 of 126	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

Short Answers (Li Wei - Instructor)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

Instructor Short Answers (Li Wei - Instructor)

What did you like most about the teaching of this section by the TA?

- 00 She was always energetic and willing to entertain dialogue not necessarily related to the dialogue while still maintaining focus and teaching us the material very well
- 01 Wei Laoshi was fun though at times she speaks a little too fast
- 02 She used time efficiently and gave good clarification if needed.

---

What did you like least about the teaching of this section by the TA?

00

N/A



# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

**Title of Course:** Literature of Early and Imperial China

**Course:** L04 341 (same as L03 3411, L97 3410) **Section:** 01  
**Semester:** Fall 2014

**Instructors:** Grant (Instructor), Li Wei (TA), bing yan (TA), Sijing Zhang (TA)

**Completed Evaluations:** 11 of 30 (37%)

## Scoring Key

**Bold** - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

## Course Section Requirements:

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

## Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)

Overall Evaluation (Li Wei - TA)

### 5.50 Give an overall rating for the section

(S) 5.71 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.98 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (36.36%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)
(M) 5.00								

### 5.25 Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness

(S) 5.62 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.93 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (27.27%)	1 (9.09%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 5.00								

Instruction (Li Wei - TA)

### 5.40 Material was presented clearly

(S) 5.78 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.18 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (27.27%)	2 (18.18%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 5.00								

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

## 5.40 Questions were answered clearly and concisely

(S) 5.74 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.20 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (27.27%)	2 (18.18%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 5.00								

## 5.80 Material was presented at an appropriate pace

(S) 5.86 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.32 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.60 The TA was well prepared for class

(S) 6.05 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.57 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)
(M) 7.00								

## 5.60 Class time was used well

(S) 5.77 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.07 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 5.60 The TA effectively led the class

(S) 5.74 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.77 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.40 Topics were effectively related to the lectures

(S) 5.97 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.41 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)
(M) 7.00								

## 6.20 Communicated at a level appropriate for the class

(S) 5.98 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.32 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)
(M) 6.00								

Interaction with students (Li Wei - TA)
---

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

## 6.00 Expectations were clearly explained

(S)	5.81 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D)	6.34 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	1 (9.09%)
(M)	6.00								

## 6.00 Grading procedures were fair

(S)	5.82 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D)	6.34 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	1 (9.09%)
(M)	6.00								

## 5.80 TA was concerned for students

(S)	5.92 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D)	6.25 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)	1 (9.09%)
(M)	6.00								

## 5.80 TA was available for consultation outside of class

(S)	6.04 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D)	5.57 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	0 (0.00%)
(M)	6.00								

## 6.60 TA maintained positive environment in class

(S)	6.07 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D)	6.41 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)
(M)	7.00								

Short Answers (Li Wei - TA)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

05 nothing

Instructor Short Answers (Li Wei - TA)

What did you like <u>most</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

02 She was always happy and willing to listen to each student's interpretation of the stories we read.

What did you like <u>least</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

02 Nothing. As far as discussion classes go, this one was pretty good!



# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

**Title of Course:** Literature of Early and Imperial China

**Course:** L04 341 (same as L03 3411, L97 3410) **Section:** A  
**Semester:** Fall 2014

**Instructors:** Li Wei (Instructor)

**Completed Evaluations:** 4 of 11 (36%)

**Scoring Key**

**Bold** - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

**Course Section Requirements:**

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

**Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)**

Overall Evaluation (Li Wei - Instructor)

**5.00 Give an overall rating for the section**

(S) 5.71 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.98 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 5.00								

**5.25 Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness**

(S) 5.62 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.93 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 5.00								

Instruction (Li Wei - Instructor)

**5.25 Material was presented clearly**

(S) 5.78 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.18 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 5.00								

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

## 5.25 Questions were answered clearly and concisely

(S) 5.74 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.20 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 5.00								

## 5.75 Material was presented at an appropriate pace

(S) 5.86 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.32 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.75 The TA was well prepared for class

(S) 6.05 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.57 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 5.50 Class time was used well

(S) 5.77 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.07 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 5.50								

## 5.50 The TA effectively led the class

(S) 5.74 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.77 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 5.50								

## 6.25 Topics were effectively related to the lectures

(S) 5.97 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.41 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 6.50								

## 6.25 Communicated at a level appropriate for the class

(S) 5.98 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.32 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 6.50								

Interaction with students (Li Wei - Instructor)
---

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

## 6.00 Expectations were clearly explained

(S) 5.81 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.34 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.00 Grading procedures were fair

(S) 5.82 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.34 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.00 TA was concerned for students

(S) 5.92 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.25 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 5.75 TA was available for consultation outside of class

(S) 6.04 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.57 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.50 TA maintained positive environment in class

(S) 6.07 of 15459	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.41 of 96	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)
(M) 6.50								

Short Answers (Li Wei - Instructor)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

Instructor Short Answers (Li Wei - Instructor)

What did you like <u>most</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

00 She was always happy and willing to listen to each student's interpretation of the stories we read.

What did you like <u>least</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

00 Nothing. As far as discussion classes go, this one was pretty good!





# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

**Title of Course:** First-Level Modern Chinese II

**Course:** L04 102D

**Section:** D

**Semester:** Spring 2011

**Instructors:** Li Wei (Instructor)

**Completed Evaluations:** 8 of 10 (80%)

**Scoring Key**

**Bold** - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

**Course Section Requirements:**

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

## Performance/Skill Acquisition Class

Overall Evaluation (Li Wei - Instructor)

**6.43 Give an overall rating for the course**

(S) 6.05 of 1563	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.49 of 181	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	2 (25.00%)	4 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**6.29 Give an overall rating of the instructor's teaching**

(S) 6.12 of 1563	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.52 of 181	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (25.00%)	1 (12.50%)	4 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**6.14 Overall, how much did you learn in this course?**

(S) 5.97 of 1563	(blank)	1 - Very Little	2	3	4	5	6	7 - A Great Deal
(D) 6.43 of 181	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (37.50%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**6.00 Would you recommend this course to another student?**

(S) 6.05 of 1563	(blank)	1 - No	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Certainly
(D) 6.38 of 181	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	1 (12.50%)	2 (25.00%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 6.00								







### Indicate your class level

(blank)	Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Graduate	Other
0 (0.00%)	6 (75.00%)	1 (12.50%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

### Indicate your school

(blank)	Architecture	Art	Arts and Sciences	Business	Engineering	Law	Medicine	Social Work	University College
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	6 (75.00%)	2 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

### Indicate your gender

(blank)	Male	Female
0 (0.00%)	7 (87.50%)	1 (12.50%)

### Did you take this course to fulfill an area requirement?

(blank)	Yes	No
0 (0.00%)	3 (37.50%)	5 (62.50%)

### Did you take this course because it was part of a cluster?

(blank)	Yes	No
0 (0.00%)	3 (37.50%)	5 (62.50%)

### Short answers (Li Wei - Instructor)

#### What did you like most about this course?

- 00 Professor Wei kept the material interesting and helped build and practice speaking skills.
- 03 We had a lot of time to practice each lesson.
- 04 I appreciated the chance to practice what we were learning in the main class.
- 06 Not much;
- 07 The class was good when a grammar concept was unclear and I needed to practice it

#### What did you like the least?

- 04 no complaints
- 06 not fun ;
- 07 The class sometimes moved slow due to over-repetition of examples.

#### Is there something important about the course not covered by these questions?

- 06 no



# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

**Title of Course:** First-Level Modern Chinese II

**Course:** L04 102D

**Section:** F

**Semester:** Spring 2011

**Instructors:** Li Wei (Instructor)

**Completed Evaluations:** 5 of 11 (45%)

**Scoring Key**

**Bold** - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

**Course Section Requirements:**

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

**Performance/Skill Acquisition Class**

Overall Evaluation (Li Wei - Instructor)

**6.80 Give an overall rating for the course**

(S) 6.05 of 1563	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.49 of 181	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	4 (80.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**6.60 Give an overall rating of the instructor's teaching**

(S) 6.12 of 1563	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.52 of 181	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (40.00%)	3 (60.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**6.40 Overall, how much did you learn in this course?**

(S) 5.97 of 1563	(blank)	1 - Very Little	2	3	4	5	6	7 - A Great Deal
(D) 6.43 of 181	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	1 (20.00%)	3 (60.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**6.20 Would you recommend this course to another student?**

(S) 6.05 of 1563	(blank)	1 - No	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Certainly
(D) 6.38 of 181	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (40.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (60.00%)
(M) 7.00								









# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

## Indicate your class level

(blank)	Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Graduate	Other
0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	2 (40.00%)	1 (20.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

## Indicate your school

(blank)	Architecture	Art	Arts and Sciences	Business	Engineering	Law	Medicine	Social Work	University College
0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (60.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

## Indicate your gender

(blank)	Male	Female
0 (0.00%)	4 (80.00%)	1 (20.00%)

## Did you take this course to fulfill an area requirement?

(blank)	Yes	No
0 (0.00%)	2 (40.00%)	3 (60.00%)

## Did you take this course because it was part of a cluster?

(blank)	Yes	No
0 (0.00%)	2 (40.00%)	3 (60.00%)

Short answers (Li Wei - Instructor)

### What did you like most about this course?

01 She is very helpful.

03 I liked how refreshing the curriculum was.

### What did you like the least?

01 none

03 It was at 4 PM! aghhhhhh

### Is there something important about the course not covered by these questions?

01 I hope she continues working as TA.

03 nope

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

**Title of Course:** First-Level Modern Chinese II

**Course:** L04 102D

**Section:** C

**Semester:** Spring 2012

**Instructors:** Li Wei (Instructor)

**Completed Evaluations:** 6 of 13 (46%)

**Scoring Key**

**Bold** - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

**Course Section Requirements:**

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

**Performance/Skill Acquisition Class**

Overall Evaluation (Li Wei - Instructor)

**6.60 Give an overall rating for the course**

(S) 6.24 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.42 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (33.33%)	3 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**6.80 Give an overall rating of the instructor's teaching**

(S) 6.28 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.45 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

**6.20 Overall, how much did you learn in this course?**

(S) 6.09 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Very Little	2	3	4	5	6	7 - A Great Deal
(D) 6.35 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	2 (33.33%)	2 (33.33%)
(M) 6.00								

**6.40 Would you recommend this course to another student?**

(S) 6.20 of 1395	(blank)	1 - No	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Certainly
(D) 6.39 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	3 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

<b>2.00</b>	<b>How would you describe the workload in this course?</b>							
(S) 4.57 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Very Light	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Very Heavy
(D) 4.87 of 185	0 (0.00%)	4 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 1.00								

<b>4.00</b>	<b>How would you describe the content of this course?</b>							
(S) 4.69 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Very Easy	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Very Difficult
(D) 4.91 of 185	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (33.33%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	0 (0.00%)
(M) 4.00								

Instruction (Li Wei - Instructor)

<b>6.40</b>	<b>Instruction individualized according to skills of student</b>							
(S) 5.76 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.91 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	3 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>6.40</b>	<b>Skills were developed sequentially</b>							
(S) 6.08 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.30 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	3 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>6.60</b>	<b>There was sufficient opportunity for practice</b>							
(S) 6.08 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.17 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>7.00</b>	<b>The instructor was well prepared for class</b>							
(S) 6.49 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.71 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	5 (83.33%)
(M) 7.00								



# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

<b>6.80</b>		<b>Grading procedures were fair</b>						
(S) 6.20 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.41 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>6.80</b>		<b>Instructor was concerned for students</b>						
(S) 6.51 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.64 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>6.80</b>		<b>Instructor was available for consultation outside of class</b>						
(S) 6.38 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.57 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>6.80</b>		<b>Instructor maintained positive environment in class</b>						
(S) 6.51 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.64 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>6.80</b>		<b>Instructor dealt constructively with individual difficulties</b>						
(S) 6.26 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.42 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>6.80</b>		<b>Student progress was recognized</b>						
(S) 6.21 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.39 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

Other Considerations (NOT Instructor Specific)
--

**Early in the semester, did your professor explain the expectations for academic integrity?**

(blank)	Yes	No
0 (0.00%)	4 (66.67%)	1 (16.67%)

**When was your last exam held?**

(blank)	The last week of classes	Reading period	Finals period	A take-home final	No final exam
0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	3 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

**Was the date and/or time of your last exam changed during the semester?**

(blank)	Yes	No
0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)

Short answers (Li Wei - Instructor)

**What did you like most about this course?**

- 00 The opportunity to review the lesson and clarify any questions
- 01 There are lots of opportunities to practice.
- 03 We got to use our skills in some context.
- 04 Everything we learned was applicable and she kept the material light and fun. She made my learning experience very personal and applicable.

**What did you like the least?**

- 00 Nothing
- 01 Sometimes class felt superfluous and not constructive.
- 03 Having so many people in the class, going individually seems a bit like wasting time. Class time isn't always used efficiently.
- 04 nothing

**Is there something important about the course not covered by these questions?**

- 00 No
- 04 no



# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

**Title of Course:** First-Level Modern Chinese II

**Course:** L04 102D

**Section:** G

**Semester:** Spring 2012

**Instructors:** Li Wei (Instructor)

**Completed Evaluations:** 3 of 5 (60%)

**Scoring Key**

**Bold** - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

**Course Section Requirements:**

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

**Performance/Skill Acquisition Class**

Overall Evaluation (Li Wei - Instructor)

**7.00 Give an overall rating for the course**

(S) 6.24 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.42 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**6.33 Give an overall rating of the instructor's teaching**

(S) 6.28 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 6.45 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)
(M) 6.00								

**6.00 Overall, how much did you learn in this course?**

(S) 6.09 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Very Little	2	3	4	5	6	7 - A Great Deal
(D) 6.35 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	1 (33.33%)	1 (33.33%)
(M) 6.00								

**7.00 Would you recommend this course to another student?**

(S) 6.20 of 1395	(blank)	1 - No	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Certainly
(D) 6.39 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)
(M) 7.00								





# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/31/2017

<b>6.33</b>	<b>Grading procedures were fair</b>							
(S) 6.20 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.41 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)
(M) 6.00								

<b>6.67</b>	<b>Instructor was concerned for students</b>							
(S) 6.51 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.64 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	2 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>6.33</b>	<b>Instructor was available for consultation outside of class</b>							
(S) 6.38 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.57 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)
(M) 6.00								

<b>6.33</b>	<b>Instructor maintained positive environment in class</b>							
(S) 6.51 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.64 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>6.67</b>	<b>Instructor dealt constructively with individual difficulties</b>							
(S) 6.26 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.42 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	2 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

<b>6.33</b>	<b>Student progress was recognized</b>							
(S) 6.21 of 1395	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 6.39 of 185	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (66.67%)
(M) 7.00								

Other Considerations (NOT Instructor Specific)
--

**Early in the semester, did your professor explain the expectations for academic integrity?**

(blank)	Yes	No
0 (0.00%)	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)

---

**When was your last exam held?**

(blank)	The last week of classes	Reading period	Finals period	A take-home final	No final exam
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

---

**Was the date and/or time of your last exam changed during the semester?**

(blank)	Yes	No
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)

---

Short answers (Li Wei - Instructor)
-------------------------------------

**What did you like most about this course?**

- 00 She is very cute
- 02 By the end of the semester Li Wei knew each of the students individually and made the class tailored to us. It made class so much fun!

**What did you like the least?**

- 00 Nothing!

**Is there something important about the course not covered by these questions?**

- 00 No



## Instructor Report for Li Wei, FL2016.L.L23.311.01 - Buddhist Traditions: Taking Refuge in the Buddha

### A&S Fall 2016 Course Evaluations

Project Audience 31

Responses Received 25

Response Ratio 80.65%

#### Report Comments

Welcome to your Instructor Report for WashU Course Evaluations. Below you will find response data from your specified Fall 2016 course section. Responses to personalized questions appear at the bottom of the report.

The intention of this report is to provide feedback, and also to prompt improvement in areas that may be lacking. This report is accessible to appropriate department level and school level users, as determined by your school. We appreciate your dedication to our learning community at Washington University.

If you have questions or concerns about your report, please contact [evals@wustl.edu](mailto:evals@wustl.edu)

Please Note: In order to protect student anonymity, fall and spring reports are not generated for sections with fewer than 4 respondents.


**Creation Date** Thu, Jan 19, 2017



# TA Evaluation


## Instruction (Li Wei)

1. Material was presented clearly




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.57
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.66

2. Questions were answered clearly and concisely




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.65
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.65

3. Material was presented at an appropriate pace




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.45
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.80

4. The TA was well prepared for section




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.59
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.50

5. The TA used time well



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.52
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.60


6. The TA effectively led the section



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.48
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.68


## Instruction (Li Wei) (continued)

7. Topics were effectively related to the course lectures



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.64
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.49


8. Communicated at a level appropriate for the class




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.52
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.67

## Instruction - Comparison Detail


1. Material was presented clearly



2. Questions were answered clearly and concisely




3. Material was presented at an appropriate pace




4. The TA was well prepared for section







5. The TA used time well




6. The TA effectively led the section



7. Topics were effectively related to the course lectures




8. Communicated at a level appropriate for the class




## Interaction with Students (Li Wei)

### 1. Expectations were clearly explained




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.64
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.66

### 2. Grading procedures were fair




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.90
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.30

### 3. TA was concerned for students




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.50
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.74

### 4. TA was available for consultation outside of section



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.62
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.59


### 5. TA maintained positive environment in section




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.62
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.59

## Interaction with Students - Comparison Detail


### 1. Expectations were clearly explained




2. Grading procedures were fair




3. TA was concerned for students



4. TA was available for consultation outside of section



5. TA maintained positive environment in section



Please provide any additional feedback on **Li Wei** that was not covered by the previous questions.

Comment
N/A
Held a very good professional demeanor when leading the class. Clear instruction and communication with class.
Very clear and engaging
Super nice and helpful and very knowledgeable!
Love her! She is really good at TA Stuff
She was so receptive, quick, and nice when I emailed her!
N/A